~ A geometric approach to the embedding calculus ~ Dounica Kosanović

Zodpogouru! Willkommen! Welcome!

<u>25.9.2020</u>

Bonn (virtually)

a knot



a long knot



a knot











a surface with boundary







a closed surface





a 3-manifold with boundary







a surface with boundary





One fundamental question: Describe all long knots up to isotopy. Another one: Describe all 2-knots in a 4-manifold up to isotopy.



An isotopy:

K

In knot theory we consider the set



 $K := \left\{ (long) \text{ knots} \right\}_{\sim}$ 





 $K \simeq K'$ 

An isotopy:

K isotopy K

K'

K ≃

In knot theory we consider the set

$$K := \{(long) \text{ knots}\}_{\sim}$$

Is there an additional structure on this set? What patterns do we see? What are some interesting subsets? An isotopy:



In knot theory we consider the set

$$K := \left\{ (long) \text{ knots} \right\}_{\sim}$$

Is there an additional structure on this set? What patterns do we see? What are some interesting subsets?

# One classical idea:

Assign each (long) knot the smallest genus of a surface bounded by it.



abstractly:



Another idea: Instead of surfaces consider

their iterations: gropes.

Instead of genus (length), measure the degree (height). First appeared in 4-manifold topology... Another isotopy:







Another idea:

Instead of surfaces consider their iterations: **gropes.** 

Instead of genus (length), measure the degree (height). First appeared in 4-manifold topology...

# Another isotopy:







Instead of surfaces consider their iterations: **gropes.** 

Instead of genus (length), measure the degree (height). First appeared in 4-manifold topology...

Two knots are **n-equivalent** if there exists a capped grope cobordism of degree n between them.





# Another isotopy:







Instead of surfaces consider their iterations: **gropes.** 

Instead of genus (length), measure the degree (height). First appeared in 4-manifold topology...

Two knots are **n-equivalent** if there exists a capped grope cobordism of degree n between them.















Does not exist for this knot. That is, K is **not** 3-equivalent to the unknot U.

#### Theorem [Conant-Teichner 2004]

Two knots are **n-equivalent**  $\iff$  they are not distinguished by any Vassiliev invariant of degree  $\leq n$ .

#### Theorem [Conant-Teichner 2004]

Two knots are **n-equivalent**  $\iff$  they are not distinguished by any Vassiliev invariant of degree  $\leq n$ .

Conjecture  $K \sim_n U \forall n \ge 1 \implies K \simeq U$ 

Equivalently, Vassiliev invariants detect the unknot.

#### **Theorem** [Conant-Teichner 2004]

Two knots are **n-equivalent**  $\iff$  they are not distinguished by any Vassiliev invariant of degree  $\leq n$ .

Conjecture  $K \sim_n U \forall n \ge 1 \implies K \simeq U$ 

Equivalently, Vassiliev invariants detect the unknot.

Theorem [Gusarov 2000, Habiro 2000, Conant-Teichner 2004]

 $\mathbb{K}_{\sim_n}$  is a finitely generated abelian group.

#### Theorem [Conant-Teichner 2004]

Two knots are **n-equivalent**  $\iff$  they are not distinguished by any Vassiliev invariant of degree  $\leq n$ .

Conjecture  $K \sim_n U \quad \forall n \ge 1 \implies K \simeq U$ Equivalently, Vassiliev invariants detect the unknot.

Theorem [Gusarov 2000, Habiro 2000, Conant-Teichner 2004]

is a finitely generated abelian group.

Question Are there any torsion elements in  $\mathbb{K}_{\sim_n}$ ?

For each  $n \ge 1$  there is an isomorphism of groups:

$$\pi_{o}ev_{n}: \mathbb{K}_{n} \longrightarrow \pi_{o}P_{n}$$

For each  $n \ge 1$  there is an isomorphism of groups:

$$\pi_{o}ev_{n}: \mathbb{K}_{n} \longrightarrow \pi_{o}P_{n}$$

That is:

1)  $T_0 ev_n$  is surjective.

2) 
$$\mathbf{K} \sim_{\mathbf{n}} \mathbf{K}' \iff \pi_{\mathbf{o}} \mathrm{ev}_{\mathbf{n}}(\mathbf{K}) = \pi_{\mathbf{o}} \mathrm{ev}_{\mathbf{n}}(\mathbf{K}')$$

For each  $n \ge 1$  there is an isomorphism of groups:

$$\pi_{o}ev_{n}: \mathbb{K}_{n} \longrightarrow \pi_{o}P_{n}$$

That is: 1)  $\pi_0 e_n$  is surjective. 2)  $K \sim_n K' \iff \pi_0 e_n(K) = \pi_0 e_n(K')$ 

Can be restated as:  

$$\mathcal{T}_{o}\mathcal{W}_{n}$$
 is a universal  
additive Vassiliev invariant  
of degree  $\leq n-1$ .

For each n≥1 there is an isomorphism of groups:

 $\pi_{o}ev_{n}: \mathbb{K}_{n} \longrightarrow \pi_{o}P_{n}$ 

That is: 1)  $\mathcal{T}_{o}e\mathcal{V}_{n}$  is surjective. 2)  $\mathbb{K} \sim_{n} \mathbb{K}' \iff \mathcal{T}_{o}e\mathcal{V}_{n}(\mathbb{K}) = \mathcal{T}_{o}e\mathcal{V}_{n}(\mathbb{K}')$ 

Goodwillie-Weiss embedding calculus is a technique from algebraic topology. It gives a tower of invariants:



Can be restated as:  $T_0 e_n$  is a universal additive Vassiliev invariant of degree  $\leq n-1$ .

For each  $n \ge 1$  there is an isomorphism of groups:

 $\pi_{o}ev_{n}: \mathbb{K}_{n} \longrightarrow \pi_{o}P_{n}$ 

That is: 1)  $\mathcal{T}_{o}e\mathcal{U}_{n}$  is surjective. 2)  $\mathbf{K} \sim_{n} \mathbf{K}' \iff \mathcal{T}_{o}e\mathcal{U}_{n}(\mathbf{K}) = \mathcal{T}_{o}e\mathcal{U}_{n}(\mathbf{K}')$ 

Goodwillie-Weiss embedding calculus is a technique from algebraic topology. It gives a tower of invariants:



**Theorem A.**  $T_0 ev_n$  is surjective.

**Theorem A.**  $T_0 ev_n$  is surjective.

**Theorem D.** [joint with Peter Teichner and Yuqing Shi]  $K \sim_n K' \implies \pi_o ev_n(K) = \pi_o ev_n(K')$ Moreover, each grope gives a point in the layer  $F_n$ .

**Theorem A.**  $T_0 ev_n$  is surjective.

**Theorem D.** [joint with Peter Teichner and Yuqing Shi]  $K \sim_n K' \implies \pi_0 ev_n(K) = \pi_0 ev_n(K')$ 

Moreover, each grope gives a point in the layer  $F_n$ .

This reproves Budney-Conant-Koytcheff-Sinha 2017

**Theorem A.**  $T_0 ev_n$  is surjective.

**Theorem D.** [joint with Peter Teichner and Yuqing Shi]  $K \sim_n K' \implies \pi_0 ev_n(K) = \pi_0 ev_n(K')$ Moreover, each grope gives a point in the layer  $F_n$ .

This reproves Budney-Conant-Koytcheff-Sinha 2017

Corollaries of Theorem E.
BCSS Conjecture is true:
1) over Q.
2) over Z<sub>p</sub> in a range (for n ≤ p+2).
3) for n≤7.

### Theorem E.

"The underlying tree of a grope is detected in the tower."

# Theorem E.

"The underlying tree of a grope is detected in the tower."

Remarks:

1) This is analogous to the works of Kontsevich, Bott-Taubes, Koschorke, Watanabe...

# Theorem E.

"The underlying tree of a grope is detected in the tower."

Remarks:

1) This is analogous to the works of Kontsevich, Bott-Taubes, Koschorke, Watanabe...

2) Corollaries use the work [Boavida de Brito-Horel 2019].

3) All other results apply to long knots in any 3-manifold.

# Theorem E.

"The underlying tree of a grope is detected in the tower."

Remarks:

1) This is analogous to the works of Kontsevich, Bott-Taubes, Koschorke, Watanabe...

2) Corollaries use the work [Boavida de Brito-Horel 2019].

3) All other results apply to long knots in any 3-manifold.

4) As a consequence we obtain Goodwillie-Klein [2015] estimates for the connectivity of  $\mathfrak{W}_n$  in some missing cases:

# Theorem E.

"The underlying tree of a grope is detected in the tower."

Remarks:

1) This is analogous to the works of Kontsevich, Bott-Taubes, Koschorke, Watanabe...

2) Corollaries use the work [Boavida de Brito-Horel 2019].

3) All other results apply to long knots in any 3-manifold.

4) As a consequence we obtain Goodwillie-Klein [2015] estimates for the connectivity of  $\mathfrak{W}_n$  in some missing cases:

$$\begin{aligned} & ev_n \colon \mathcal{E}uub_2(L,M) \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}_n(L,M) \\ & \text{is } \left( 3 - \dim M + (n+1) \left( \dim M - \dim L - 2 \right) \right) - connected \\ & also for \ L = I \quad aud \quad \dim M = 3. \end{aligned}$$

5) We actually obtain the results regarding the embedding calculus for long knots in **any d-dimensional manifold M.** 

5) We actually obtain the results regarding the embedding calculus for long knots in any d-dimensional manifold M.

**Theorem C.**  $F_n(M)$  is (n-1)(d-3)-1 -connected and there is an explicit isomorphism J

$$T_{(n-1)(d-3)}F_{n}(M) \xrightarrow{\gamma} Lie_{\pi,M}(n-1)$$

5) We actually obtain the results regarding the embedding calculus for long knots in **any d-dimensional manifold M.** 

**Theorem C.**  $F_n(M)$  is (n-1)(d-3)-1 -connected and there is an explicit isomorphism  $\pi_{(n-1)(d-3)}F_n(M) \xrightarrow{\gamma} Lie_{\pi,M}(n-1)$ .

> certain ab. group of decorated trees.







MPIM Bonn 2019



